IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE STATE OF MARYLAND RDB-04-1433 RANDY A. WORUR, E ALL INMATES CURRENTLY -JUN-0-3-<sub>2008</sub> HOUSED IN FREDERICK COUNTY DISTRICY U. DETENTION CNTR. CLASS ACTION PLAINTIFF(5) <u>+</u>V5-MOTION TO PROCEED IN CIVIL ACTION AS A PROJECTO EACUS, CLAIMING STATE of MARYLAND, VIOLATIONS OF FIFTH S FREDERICK COUNTY, SHERIFF CHARLES A. SIXTH AMENDMENTS TO 4.5. CONSTITUTION JONKINS, etal, RESPONDANTS) CAMES NUM, PLAINTIFF RANGY A WEDLER, PRO SÉ ON BEHALF OF ALL INMATES AT THE FREDERICK County detention center, does hereby move this HONORABLE COURT FOR AN ORDER, AllowING the Abovementioned plaintiffs) To commence a CLASS-ACTION CIVIL SUIT agaINST THE ABOVE-NAMED RESPONDENTS)

TN SUPPORT THEREOF, the PLAINTHES WOULD

## 1. FIFTH AMENDMENT STATES:

"NO DESON SHALL BE HELD TO ANSWER FOR ANY CARTAL, OR
OTHERWISE INFAMOUS CRIME, LINESS ON A PROSENTMENT OR
INCLICAMENT OF A GRAND JULY, EXCEPT IN CASES ARISING IN
THE LAND ON NAVAL FORCES, OR IN the MILITIA, WHEN IN
ACTUAL SERVICE IN TIME OF LUNAR ON PUBLIC DANGER; NOR SHALL
ANY DESEN- be Subject for the Same offence to be twice put
IN Jeogardy of life, or linb, non shall be compelled in
Any Crimmal Case to be a witness against himself, non
be deprived of life, Liberry, or property, with the
process of Law, non shall private property be taken for
public use, without just Compensation", And.,

(1A). Above Named plaintiff(s) State that the respondant(s) have engaged in a processive that devies on eliminates the plaintiff's right to a preliminary hearing. Most times, plaintiff(s) may be scheduled for a preliminary hearing, only to be informed that a state witness can't make it. The hearing is re-scheduled, and then a few days as necks hater, plaintiff is informed that preliminary hearing that been carefled, and plaintiff's case is then moved to the higher court (circuit court), And,

(18). PLAINTIFF(5) Alledge that this ACTION 13 the
EQUINDIENT / SAME AS CLERYING the PLAINTIFFS their
Constitutional Light to due process, and is AUGINER

of plaintists Right to confront his Accusors
at a very VItal Stoge in the Judicial System. By
eliminating this fundemental Right from plaintists,
the STATE and other Respondents are depliving the
plaintists or life and Liberty by holding plaintists
in detention correct much larger than had the
plaintist provided at two preliminary hearing or
Atleast ween giving the CHARCE for Liberty at A preLiminary hearing, And.

(10). THE PRACTICE OF denying plaintiff(s) their Rights
to due process is an All too often occurance in Frederick
Country, the Education levels of some of the plaintiff(s)
15 below the average, And therefore, most of these
Plaintiff(s) just Allow these violation(s) to occur to
themselves without any understanding that these acts
by the respondent(s) is illegal. And, unfortunately,
the attorneys that represent some of the plaintiff(s)
are not trying to Puffle any feathers in the state
Attorneys affice, And,

2. SIXTH AMONDMENT STATES:

in All crimed prosecutions the Accused should enjoy the right to a speedy trial and a public trial, by AN importal Jury of the State and district where in the Crime Shall have been committed, which district

Shall have been previously ASCENTAINED by Law, and to be informed of the nature and course of the accus-Ation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have conspulsory process for detaining witnesses IN HIS FAVOR, and have the ASSISTANCE of Course for his defense, And, (2A). Here the printiffs) Re-instate their claims, and State that the respondents ILLEGE waiver of the plaintiff(s) Right to a preliminary hearing, 15 a clean Viclation of plaintisso) right to confront his/her Accuser at a vital stage of the Judical process, which this court is AUARE, could lead to the plaintiffs release from detention contor, and in Alot of CASES, the dismissal of criminal changes) WHEREFUKE, the planetisso) respectfully mue this curet for AN order granting them the right to proceed as a class/ gray plaintests) would Finther Mue this boucable court for A Temporary INJUNCTIVE ORDER REQUIRING that all plaintiff(s) be given a Proliminary hearing, and that the respondents be required to provide this court with documentation to

-4-

Stow HAT plantiffs) currently at defeation conton have

OTHER be given their preliminary hearings), on have

## Case 1:08-cv-01433-RDB Document 1 Filed 06/03/08 Page 5 of 5

Signed a waiver form that is hegisle and understandable by All plainties, NO MATTER WHAT their Educational level is.

Dated this 30th day of May, 2008. Respectfully SUBMINED, Landy A. Wewer pro se

PLAINTIFF (5)

7300 MARCIE CHOICE LV. FROXFLICK\_MO\_31704

## CONTINCATE OF SERVICE"

PLAINTIFE(S) STATE THAT ON MAY 35 2008, A + AUR.

AND COMEC, COPY OF PLAINTIFES) MOTION TO PROCEED

AS CLASS/GROUP IN CIVIL ACHON WAS SERVED ON

THE RESPONDENT VIA U.S. MAIL, by depositing

The same in u.s. mail, formage pre-paid And

Addressed as Idllows:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE: CHARLES A. JENKINS

200 SAINT PAUL PLACE SHERUFF-FREDERICK COUNTY

BALTIMORE, MD. 21202 110 AIRPORT DR-EAST

FREDERICK, MD. 2170/